

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/803,539	03/18/2004	Masataka Kano	1300-000016	5656	
27572	7590 05/22/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
•	DICKEY & PIERCE,	ZACHARIA, RAMSEY E			
P.O. BOX 82 BLOOMFIEI	8 LD HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1773		
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1				_
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/803,539	KANO, MASATAKA	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ramsey Zacharia	1773	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	•
A SH WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE  (a) In no event, however, may a reput apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH  (b) cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ly be timely filed  4S from the mailing date of this communicat NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M.  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Education 1 and	action is non-final.	•	is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>3 and 6</u> is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>3 and 6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 March 2004</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/803,539 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. The phrase "interposed between a first electrode and a second electrode" on line 3 of claim 3 renders claims 3 and 6 indefinite because it is not clear what is intended to be interposed between the first and second electrodes. Moreover, the phrase "the organic bistable element has a single layer structure comprising an organic thin film the limiter limits current" renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear if the single layer structure includes both the organic thin film and the limiter or just the organic thin film.

## Claim Language

5. For the purpose of examination, the organic bistable element is taken to have a single-layer structure comprising the organic thin film (and not the limiter). The organic bistable element is taken to be interposed between the first and second electrodes.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,539 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yang et al. (WO 02/37500 A1).

Yang et al. teach a bistable electrical device that may be used to form a memory device wherein a memory input element is provided for applying voltage to the bistable body (paragraph 0011). The bistable device comprise a first electrode, a second electrode, and a bistable body between the two electrodes (Figure 1 and paragraph 0030). The bistable body may be in the form of a single layer (Figure 1 and paragraph 0033). The bistable body comprises a low conductivity material (paragraph 0033). The low conductivity material may be 2-amino-4,5-imidazoledicarbonitrile (paragraph 0037), which reads on the compound I of the instant claims wherein  $R_1 = -NH_2$  and  $R_2 = R_3 = -CN$ . One of the electrodes is formed by deposition of the electrode material onto a substrate (paragraph 0045). The memory input element or control unit reads on the limiter.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 16 March 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicants argue that Yang et al. do not teach a single-layer bistable structure as claimed but rather a composite layer structure or multiple layer structure.

This is not persuasive for the following reasons. While the bistable body comprises at least two components (i.e. low conductivity material and high conductivity material), the bistable

Art Unit: 1773

body itself is not required to have multiple layers. Figure 1 and 3 of Yang et al. illustrate embodiments in which their bistable body has a single layer (see also discussion in paragraph 0033 of Yang et al.). The instant claims require the bistable element to have a single-layer structure but do not require the single layer to consist of a single component. Therefore, the embodiment illustrated by Figure 1 of Yang et al. in which the bistable body comprises a molecular solution having no distinct phases reads on the claimed single-layer structure as does the embodiment of Figure 3 in which the bistable body comprises a single layer containing nanoparticles or molecular clusters of high conductivity material in a low conductivity matrix.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,539

Art Unit: 1773

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramsey Zacharia whose telephone number is (571) 272-1518. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney, can be reached at (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Remsey Zacharia rimary Examiner Tech Center 1700 Page 5